Q£ Light Diesel Vehicles in ttie course of inter-state trade directly to the customers. Bajaj Tempo was marketing its Pick ups model F-305 High Slung Pickup at the price of Es. 93,736.12 Ex-Works and Rs. 94,798.02 Ex-Works respectively on inter-state sale basis directly to customers outside the State of Maharashtra.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) It has been stated by Bajaj Tempo Limited that M/s. J. J. Motor Corporation when acting on behalf of the customer to transport the vehicle from Akurdi, Pune to New Delhi, charges Rs. 2,240 in addition to the Ex-works price of the vehicle.

(e) Recovery of transportation charges by the transporter from the customer is not against the scheme which is related to concession in Sales Tax.

, Piston Plant Project in Orissa

1819. SHRI K. VASUDEVA PANICKER: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state;

(a) whether iPICOL.which was is sued a Letter of Intent for setting up a Piston Plant Project in the *Nb Industry District' of Mayurbhanj in Orissa, has requested the Govern ment of India to permit change of location of the unit to Bhubaneshwar;

(b) if so, whether the permission has been granted;

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor; and

(d) by when a decision is likely to be taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M[1]. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) The applicant firm viz. M/s. Associated Piston Ltd., Bhubaneshwar, the newly incorporated company *oi* IPICOL were advised to submit their application in prescribed format. Request in prescribed format has not yet been received from the party.

(d) After receipt of firm's request it will be considered on merit.

1820. *[Transferred to the Hth August,* 1986.]

Child marriage

1821. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE:
SHRI SURESH PACHOURI:
SHRI MAHENDRA PRASAD:

Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government's atten tion has been drawn to a news item that appeared in the *Hindustan Times* of July 14, 1986 captioned "Children marriages still pervalent";

(b) whether Government have verified the facts and collected data with regard to prevalence of Child marriages in different States and Union Territories; and

(c) if so, the details in this re gard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The Government had collected the data in respect of the prosecutions launched under the Child Marriage Restraint Act, 1929 from the State Governments and Union Territory Administrations for the years 1977, 1978 and 1979. It was revealed that there have been prosel cutions under the Act during these years in Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Tamilnadu, West Bengal and Andaman and Nico-bar Islands. The details are set out in the statement given below. (See below). The remaining States and Union Territories have reported that there were no prosecutions during the aforesaid period.